Biblische Zeitschrift Joh. Göttsberger and Jos. Sickenberger The Princeton Theological Review 4:414-415. [1906]

This periodical, published by Roman Catholic scholars, has during the past year fully maintained its previous character both for learning and conservatism. A glance at the contents and the perusal of a few articles will convince the unprejudiced reader that the Romanist position does not de facto render participation in the work of modern Biblical scholarship impossible. The repertoire here offered us is most varied in its character; philological articles alternate with historical and exegetical ones, such as that of Prof. Bardenhewer on the Annunciation to Mary, in which the recent attacks upon the historicity of the Virgin-birth are ably criticized, a subject since more extensively treated by the same author in Heft 5 of Vol. 10 of the Biblische Studien. All the articles give evidence of a thorough acquaintance with the work of modern Biblical scholarship and of, what is often missed in Protestant periodicals of the same grade, full appreciation of its theological and religious trend. A very valuable feature is the Bibliography at the close of each number, which includes not only books but also the periodical literature, and, instead of giving mere titles, orients the reader at once by briefly stating the content, scope and conclusions of each book or article. This Bibliography, in point of view of completeness and instructiveness, ranks with the best. Protestant workers in the field of Biblical learning, especially of the conservative corps, cannot afford to ignore the Biblische Zeitschrift or the work of these Catholic scholars in general. That there is reason to think they sometimes do so, appears from the following sentence, taken from the bibliographical note on Zahn's Commentary on Galatians: "We hope that this excellent commentary will not be ignored by Catholic theologians, in the same way as it (Zahn's Commentary) ignores the Catholic literature."